

**AMERICAN NATUROPATHIC RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
NATUROPATHIC ONCOLOGY RESEARCH INSTITUTE**

**Interim address:  
1250 E. Baseline Rd., Suite 205  
Tempe, AZ 85283  
Tel: 480-839-2800**

**JOINT MEETING OF THE  
AMERICAN NATUROPATHIC RESEARCH INSTITUTE (ANRI)**

**AND THE  
NATUROPATHIC ONCOLOGY RESEARCH INSTITUTE (NORI)**

**AND THE  
INTERNATIONAL NATUROPATHIC CLINICAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE**

**AND THE  
INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD (IRB)**

**November 12, 2021**

**MINUTES AND MEETING NOTES**

---

In accordance with the requirements of the United States Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Chapter 1, Subchapter A, Part 56, as well as Title 45, Part 46, the forty-sixth regular quarterly meeting of the American Naturopathic Research Institute (ANRI) and the Naturopathic Oncology Research Institute (NORI) and the International Naturopathic Clinical Research Institute (INCRI) and the Institutional Review Board (IRB) was called to order at 12:05 p.m., August 13, 2021 at 1250 E. Baseline Rd., Suite 205, meeting in Suite 203, Tempe, AZ 85283.

**MEMBERS AND GUESTS**

Seven members and guests were in attendance, including six healthcare providers and one attorney.

## **IVERMECTIN**

We discussed a question about the First Amendment that had arisen before the Naturopathic Board recently. A non-patient, out-of-state, had jumped into a public conversation with a naturopathic physician who had discussed with another party the mechanisms of ivermectin against COVID. The non-patient complained about this doctor's viewpoint, and then actually filed a complaint with the Board on that basis of his disagreement. The doctor then argued that the Board's jurisdiction does not extend to the First Amendment, but the Board had made a case anyway. That case then got dismissed by the Board this past week.

## **MASK STUDY**

A study was brought before this IRB. It was noted that the proposed study would introduce risk to children.

It seemed to the group that we are rejecting this on the basis of violation of First Do No Harm. There would be no medical supervision during this time, even if children were severely impacted physiologically during wear. Frightening.

A motion was made: Reject this study because the children would not be assessed medically, and it violates *Primum non nocere*. And it is unethical.

The motion was seconded. The motion then passed by unanimous vote to reject this study on ethical grounds.

## **OSHA vs the ICA**

A brief recap of recent conflict between the federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and the Industrial Commission of Arizona, which has been "granted" authority by OSHA to perform some OSHA-related functions. Recent discussion of OSHA's authority or lack thereof to compel workplace vaccination in companies of > 100 employees, or perhaps in the future companies with fewer than 100 employees has brought the role of ICA to the fore. Governor Ducey has vowed to preserve ICA's role and has generally spoken against vaccine mandates.

So the question for small clinics is: How can we maintain our autonomy against mandated medical procedures? Several options were discussed.

Even though the Nuremberg Code does not have the force of law in the US, our federal informed consent law is based on it. See 45 CFR § 46.

We discussed whether OSHA could be countered on a technicality. We also discussed legal limitations on the Biden/OSHA forced vaccination initiative.

### **STUDY OF LOCAL ATHLETES**

Draft letter, to consider using later, after talking with coaches:

We discussed parental permission and other factors in conducting a study on athletes of minor ages.

### **GRAND ROUNDS**

A number of treatments and patient cases were discussed.

### **NEXT MEETING**

2<sup>nd</sup> Friday of February, 2022.